

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/530,907 | 06/30/2000 | RUDI WILFRIED JAN PAUWELS | 07619.0006 | 4853 |
| 27777 7 | 590 09/20/2002 | | | |
| AUDLEY A. | CIAMPORCERO JR. | | EXAMINER | |
| | N & JOHNSON PLAZA | | BAKER, MAURIE GARCIA | |
| NEW BRUNS | WICK, NJ 08933-7003 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1627 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/20/2002 | 112 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

DEA/FCE-1994

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO | |
|---------------|-------------|--|--|
| 09/530,907 | 06/30/00 | PAUWELS et al TIBO:002 | |
| Γ | | EXAMINER | |
| | | Maurie Garcia Baker, Ph.D. | |
| | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 1627 18 | |

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application Commissioner of Patents

Please see attached Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment.

Serial Number: 09/530,901

Art Unit: 1627

DETAILED ACTION

Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment

1. The reply filed June 20, 2002 is not fully responsive to the prior Office action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s):

The Restriction Requirement mailed April 24, 2002 required election of a single invention from Groups I – III and a *further election of species* depending on the Group elected. Applicant elected Group I for examination with traverse in their response. Group I contained a requirement of election of species from four subgroups, as follows (copied from Restriction Requirement, paragraph 15):

If applicant elects the invention of **Group I**, applicant is required to elect from the following patentably distinct species. Please elect one species from each subgroup below.

Species of solid support

Applicant is required to elect, for purposes of search, a specific species of solid support from those set forth in the claims. For example, solid support is a detector; solid support is a film or tape; solid support is a compact disk, etc.

Species of analyte (e.g. claim 29)

Applicant is required to elect, for purposes of search, a specific species of analyte to be screened.

Species of semi-solid or liquid medium

Applicant is required to elect, for purposes of search, a specific species of semi-solid or liquid medium.

Species of target (e.g. claim 33)

Applicant is required to elect, for purposes of search, a specific species of target.

Serial Number: 09/530,961

Art Unit: 1627

Applicant has elected various species; however the election is not deemed to be fully responsive because the species of solid support is set forth in *generic* terms (Applicant elected "polymer solid support"). The examiner specifically required an election of a *specific species* (see above). Thus, an election of *one specific species* is required. Moreover, applicant's generic election creates confusion regarding which of the instant claims read on the elected species. That is, since the species of solid support is not elected specifically, it is unclear whether (or how) claims such as 5 & 26 directed to shape of the support and claims such as 6, 7, 9, 17 & 19 with respect to properties of the support read on the election. Again, an election of *a single*, *specific species* is required.

However, the examiner notes that the elected species for the other subgroups is sufficient to meet the requirements. Also note that the traversal will be completely addressed in the action that follows applicant's fully responsive election.

2. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Serial Number: 09/530,907

Art Unit: 1627

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Maurie Garcia Baker, Ph.D. whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0065. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and

alternate Fridays from 9:30 to 7:00.

4. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew J. Wang, can be reached on (703) 306-3217. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Maurie Garcia Baker, Ph.D. September 18, 2002

MAURIE GARCIA BAKER, Ph.D. PATENT EXAMINER